

District Court of Appeals
First District, Tallahassee Florida

Darryl Schneider
Appellant

Case 1D25-2609

Ronald DeSantis
Appellee

Jurisdiction

Appealing under FRCP 9.110 - - (a). This rule applies to those proceedings that (1) invoke the appeal jurisdiction of the courts described in rules 9.030(a)(1), (b)(1)(A) and (c)(1)(A).

Contents of Initial Brief

Statement of the Facts - - pages 1 through 2
Argument - - page 2
Summary of the Argument - - page 3
Conclusion - - page 3
Affidavit of Verification - - pages 3 through 4
Certificate of Service - - page 5

Table of Citations

I. Florida Statute §838.022 (honest services fraud) -
- page 2
II. Florida Statute and F.S. §1540 (Fraud on the
Court) - - page 2
III. FRCP 1.110(b) - - pages 2 & 3

Statement of the Facts

1. A Writ of Mandamus was filed by the petitioner, not the plaintiff of a lawsuit, in early 2025;
2. The respondent defaulted on responding to the petition after the time limit;
3. After the Clerk of the Court officially defaulted the respondent, his attorney wrongly filed a response to the petition, not the default;
4. Judge Sjostrom failed to remove the default, and then wrongly allowed the respondent to submit a rebuttal to the petition, and then wrongly granted the respondent a hearing date to dismiss the meritable petition on the grounds the petitioner did not have standing to ask for an investigation from the respondent;
5. The petitioner in writing, refused to attend the false hearing on the grounds that there was nothing more for the petitioner to do except reject the void ruling by not attending the hearing to be defrauded further under F.S. §838.022 (honest services fraud) and F.S. §1540 (Fraud on the Court), in what was essentially a request for two (2) murder investigations;
6. The bogus hearing was held, and the petitioner's Writ of Mandamus was dismissed for supposedly being in violation of FRCP 1.110(b), when anyone who has an interest in the subject matter, can file a Writ of Mandamus.

Argument

For rule 1.110(b) to have been correctly applied with the petition dismissed, the following would have had to be correct:

7. Judge Sjostrom would not have had jurisdiction to proceed any further, and the respondent would not have

Conclusion

11. Consequently FRCP 1.110(b) is inapplicable, and the respondent DOES have to obey his mandatory and statutory duties to the public, which consist of making sure wrongs committed by his contracted/paid/extorted/bribed state agents, are not over looked and turned a blind eye to, under the doctrines of Imputation; Respondeat Superior; and Vicarious liability!!!! The petitioner is currently suing the FRB cartel in state and federal courts and will be submitting a copy of this Brief to his website designer; media; FRB; and specific FRB owner to prove his point. Thousands of consumers will be suing the FRB in class action lawsuits for violating their due process rights, by committing honest services frauds with mail and wire misrepresentations, through their contracted/paid/extorted/bribed judicial agents, using their positions; salaries; perks; and pensions as methods of inducing racketeering activities.

Affidavit of Verification

STATE OF FLORIDA, COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH

I, Darryl Schneider, being duly sworn, deposes and say: I the Petitioner in the above entitled action, have read the foregoing petition and know the contents of it, and say that to the best of my knowledge, its "contents" ARE TRUE and CORRECT.

D. Schneider Signature

Subscribed and sworn before me on

October 28, 2025 to certify which witness signed by my hand and official seal. Driver License#

5443936530000

in fact responded to the petition, but did so giving the court personal jurisdiction over the respondent;

8. The material evidence adequately disclosed in the petition, did in fact reveal to a reasonably prudent person that crimes were committed by state government agents, no matter the format used by the non-attorney petitioner, which were still violations of Florida statute and consequently needed to be investigated by the respondent as part of his mandatory and statutory duties to the public, which he was supposedly elected into office to represent and protect

9. In paragraph 5 of the petition, the petitioner did in fact asked Judge Sjostrom to instruct the respondent to investigate the civil right violations committed by his government agents, thus the purpose of the petition, idiots.

Summary of the Argument

10. A Writ of Mandamus petition, is not a complaint for equitable relief in which anything more than an interest in Florida Statute violations committed by state government officials, needs to be presented and ordered investigated. And the petition had clear enough content for a reasonably prudent person to understand, when no mention of not understanding the contents of the petition was EVER stated by the respondent, even during the final hearing (read transcript), just that the respondent supposedly did not have the authority to investigate any racketeering activities committed by state agencies, which left the petitioner's wife murdered, while he was being falsely imprisoned!!!! When the FRB through their government agents are killing consumers in the streets by the millions, with state and federal constitutions voided, will governors not have the authority to investigate agency implemented murders!!!!

Jaida Marie Negron Name

Jaida Marie Negron Signature



____ Notary Public State of

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been placed into the case file.

Petitioner

D. Schneider
Signature
10406 N 26 Street
Tampa, FL 33612
813-585-0552

Parties:

1. Ronald DeSantis
400 S Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399

2. Darryl Schneider
10406 N 26 Street
Tampa, FL 33612